

**UNIVERSITÉ 8 Mai 1945 - Guelma**  
**Faculté des Sciences et de la Technologie**  
**Département de Génie Mécanique**



**Mémoire de Fin d'Etudes**

Présenté par: **ABDOU Mousaab**

**Master 2**

**Option: Construction Mécanique**

---

**Flow-Field Design and Analysis within Single  
and Dual-Bell Propulsion Nozzles**

---

Sous la Direction de  
**Pr. A. HADDAD**  
**L. DAI**

Academic year 2024/2025

ملخص  
**ABSTRACT**  
**Résumé**

**ملخص:** في هذه الدراسة، يتم دراسة تصميم وتحليل عددي لفهة دفع مزدوجة الانحناء، مع مقارنة أدائها وخصائص مجال السيلان الخاص بها بفوهة تقليدية ذات انحاء واحد تملك نفس نسبة مساحات المقاطع العرضية عند العنق والمخرج. لقد تم نمذجة الفوهة ذات الانحناء المزدوج، المعروفة بقدرتها على التكيف مع اختلاف الارتفاعات، بهدف استغلال نمطي تشغيلها: نمط على مستوى سطح البحر يتميز بانفصال السيلان، ونمط على ارتفاعات عالية يتميز بتماسك السيلان وارتباطه بجداران الفوهة. تم إجراء محاكاة في حالة الاستقرار باستخدام برنامج → ANSYS Fluent، مع حل معادلات → نافье-ستوكس المعدلة حسب رينولدرز (RANS) → في نموذج ثانوي الأبعاد متماثل المحور، باستعمال نموذج الاضطراب →  $k-\omega$  SST → لاغلاق نظام المعادلات. تم تقدير أهم معلمات السيلان مثل توزيع الضغط وتوزيع رقم → ماخ، إلى جانب الخصائص الأداءية. وبالمقارنة مع الفوهة ذات الانحناء الواحد، تظهر الفوهة ذات الانحناء المزدوج سلوكاً أكثر استقراراً للسيلان في ظروف فرط التمدد فضلاً عن كفاءة دفع أعلى تحت ظروف محيطية متغيرة. تبرز هذه الدراسة المقارنة الإمكانيات الكبيرة التي توفرها الفوهات ذات الانحناء المزدوج في تحسين أداء مركبات الإطلاق من الجيل الجديد.

**Résumé:** La présente étude traite la conception et l'analyse numérique d'une tuyère de propulsion à double galbe, et compare ses performances ainsi que les caractéristiques de son champ d'écoulement à celles d'une tuyère classique à simple galbe ayant le même rapport de des sections droites au col et à la sortie. La tuyère à double galbe, reconnue pour ses capacités d'adaptation en altitude, a été modélisée afin d'exploiter ses deux régimes de fonctionnement: un mode au niveau de la mer avec séparation de l'écoulement, et un mode en haute altitude avec attachement de l'écoulement aux parois. Des simulations en régime permanent ont été réalisées à l'aide du logiciel 'ANSYS Fluent', en résolvant les équations de Navier-Stokes moyennées selon Reynolds (RANS) en 2-D axisymétrique, avec le modèle de turbulence  $k-\omega$  SST clôturant le système. Les paramètres d'écoulement les plus importants tels que la distribution de pression et la distribution du Mach, ainsi que les caractéristiques de performance ont été évalués. Comparée à la configuration à simple galbe, la tuyère à double galbe présente un comportement d'écoulement plus stable en conditions de sur-détente, ainsi qu'un meilleur rendement de poussée global sous des conditions ambiantes variables. Cette étude comparative met en évidence le potentiel des tuyères à double galbe pour l'amélioration des performances des premiers étages des lanceurs de nouvelle génération.

**Abstract:** The present study focuses on the design and numerical analysis of a dual-bell propulsion nozzle, and compares its performance and flow-field characteristics with those of a conventional single-bell nozzle having the same area ratio at the throat and exit. The

dual-bell nozzle, known for its altitude-adaptive capabilities, was modeled to exploit its two distinct operating modes: a sea-level mode with flow separation, and a high-altitude mode with flow attachment along the walls. Steady-state simulations were carried out using “ANSYS Fluent” platform that solves the Reynolds-Averaged Navier–Stokes (RANS) equations in 2-D axisymmetric form, with the  $k-\omega$  SST turbulence model used to close the system. Key flow parameters such as pressure and Mach distributions along the performance characteristics, were evaluated. Compared to the single-bell configuration, the dual-bell nozzle exhibits more stable flow behavior under over-expanded conditions and delivers better overall thrust efficiency under varying ambient conditions. This comparative study highlights the potential of dual-bell nozzles to enhance the performance of the first stages of next-generation launch vehicles.

**Key-words:** Nozzle, double bell, single bell, supersonic, Ansys-Fluent,  $k-\omega$  SST

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Before beginning this work, I would first like to express my deepest gratitude to God Almighty for granting us the strength, patience, and perseverance to carry out and complete this modest endeavor.

I would also like to sincerely thank **Prof. Haddad Abdelkrim** and **L. Dai** for their invaluable guidance, insightful advice, and continued support throughout the development of this work. Their expertise and encouragement played a significant role in shaping this study.

We are also genuinely grateful to the members of the jury for taking the time to review our work. Their willingness to engage with this research and provide constructive feedback has been truly appreciated and has contributed meaningfully to its enrichment.

Finally, I would like to extend my sincere thanks to all those who, in one way or another, contributed to the realization of this work. Whether through their support, encouragement, insightful discussions, or simply by being present during challenging moments.

---

# **LIST OF CONTENTS**

Abstract	2
Acknowledgments	3
List of contents	4
List of figures	7
List of tables	9
Notation	10
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Chapter I : CONTEXT AND JUSTIFICATION</b>	<b>13</b>
I.1 Introduction-Context	13
I.2 Justifications	13
<b>Chapter II : LITTERATURE REVIEW AND STATE OF THE ART</b>	<b>14</b>
II.1 Introduction	14
II.2 Compressible Flow	14
II.2.1 Definition of compressible flow	14
II.2.2 Principal parameters of compressible flows	15
II.3 Fundamental relations governing compressible fluid dynamics	16
II.3.1 Conservation of momentum equation (Navier-Stokes)	16
II.3.2 Continuity or conservation of mass equation	17
II.3.3 Conservation of energy equation	17
II.3.4 Equation of state	18
II.4 The Turbulence	18
II.4.1 Turbulent flow	18
II.4.2 Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes equations (RANS)	19
II.4.3 Turbulence Model: The k- $\omega$ SST (Shear Stress Transport)	19
II.5 Isentropic flow and governing relations	20
II.6 The propulsion nozzles	21
II.6.1 Historical evolution of nozzle design	21
II.6.2 Principal performance parameters of propulsion nozzles	23
II.6.3 The convergent-divergent or de Laval nozzle	24
II.6.4 The contoured nozzle or Bell nozzle	24
II.7 Dual bell nozzles	25
II.7.1 History and evolution of dual-bell nozzles	25
II.7.2 Characteristics of dual-bell nozzles	25
II.7.3 Technologies and materials used in the development of dual-bell nozzles	26

II.7.4 Applications of dual bell nozzles	27
II.8 The method of characteristics MoC	28
II.8.1 Introduction to MoC	28
II.8.2 Key characteristics of MoC	28
II.9 The Finite Volume Method	30
<b>Chapter III : IDEAL SINGLE BELL NOZZLE DESIGN AND TRUNCATION</b>	<b>32</b>
III.1. Introduction	32
III.2 Design preparation of the ideal nozzle	32
III.2.1 Nozzle contour calculation using the method of characteristics (MoC)	33
III.2.2 Input parameters	33
III.2.3 Output parameters :	34
III.3 Design of the ideal nozzle:	34
III.4 Performance parameters:	35
III.5 Truncation of the ideal nozzle	35
<b>Chapter IV : DUAL BELL NOZZLE DESIGN AND ANALYSIS</b>	<b>37</b>
IV.1 Introduction	37
IV.2 Thermodynamic and geometric data of the dual-bell nozzle	37
IV.3 Design method of the dual-bell nozzle	37
IV.3.1 Design method for the first bell	37
IV.3.2 Prandtl-Meyer Expansion Method for Nozzle Contouring	37
IV.4 Design and geometry preparation	38
IV.5 Mesh generation	39
IV.6 CFD Simulation setup	41
IV.7 Performance parameters	44
<b>Chapter V : CORRESPONDANT 1-BELL NOZZLE DESIGN AND ANALYSIS</b>	<b>45</b>
V.1. Introduction	45
V.2 Thermodynamic and geometric properties of the single bell nozzle	45
V.3 Profile acquisition	45
V.4 Design and geometry preparation	46
V.5 Mesh generation	46
V.6 CFD Simulation setup	47
V.7 Performance parameters	48

<b>Chapter VI : RESULTS AND COMPARISON</b>	<b>49</b>
VI.1. Introduction	49
VI.2 Geometric and Design Comparison	49
VI.3 Comparison of Mach number profiles	51
VI.3.1 Simulation results	51
VI.3.2 Data summary	51
VI.3.3 Centerline Mach number comparison	51
VI.3.4. Wall Mach number comparison	52
VI.3.5. Centerline vs wall disparity ( $\Delta M$ )	52
VI.3.6 Key performance metrics	53
VI.3.7 Design advantages :	53
VI.3.8. Design trade-offs:	53
VI.3.9 Validation of dual bell mechanics:	53
VI.4 Mach number contours comparison	53
VI.4.1 Simulation results	53
VI.4.2 Interpretation of nozzle contour variation	54
VI.4.3 Flow expansion pattern	54
VI.4.4 Boundary layer (BL) visualization	54
VI.4.5 Key Flow Features	55
VI.4.6 Performance implications	55
VI.5 Comparison of pressure profiles	56
VI.5.1 Simulation results	56
VI.5.2. Centerline pressure distribution	56
VI.5.3 Wall pressure distribution	56
VI.5.4 Pressure gradient	57
VI.5.5 Critical pressure metrics	57
VI.5.6 Correlation with Mach data	57
VI.5.7 Final conclusion	58
VI.6 Pressure contours comparison	58
VI.6.1 Simulation results	58
VI.6.2 Contour range and pressure distribution	59
VI.6.3 Expansion profile analysis	59
VI.6.4 Inflection point mechanics (DBN Only)	59
VI.6.5 Correlation with Mach data	60
VI.6.6 Final conclusion	60
VI.7 Performance parameters comparison	60
VI.7.1 Key performance metrics	60
VI.7.2 Critical analysis	61
VI.7.3 Why the Dual Bell outperforms	61
VI.7.4 Trade-offs	61
VI.7.5 Final conclusion	61
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>64</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure II.1: C.G.P de Laval patented steam turbine	22
Figure II.2: First liquid-fuel rocket (Robert H. Goddard)	23
Figure II.3: Rocket nozzle V2	24
Figure II.4: Convergent-divergent nozzle	25
Figure II.5: Single Bell Nozzle	26
Figure II.6: Dual-Bell Nozzle	27
Figure II.7: Dual-Bell Nozzle's adaptation	27
Figure II.8: Dual-bell nozzle during testing at the NASA MSFC NTF	29
Figure II.9: Characteristics lines C+ and C- (Mach lines)	31
Figure III.1: Ideal nozzle profile	36
Figure III.2: Distribution of characteristic points for an ideal nozzle (Sauer's method)	36
Figure IV.1: Profile of the dual bell nozzle	39
Figure IV.2: Split of the nozzle profile	40
Figure IV.3: Boundary layers designation	41
Figure IV.4: Edges sizing applied	42
Figure IV.5: Generated Mesh of the dual bell nozzle	42
Figure IV.6: Solver parameters	43
Figure IV.7: Turbulence model and fluid properties	43
Figure IV.8: Inlet boundary conditions for the DBN	44
Figure IV.9: Outlet boundary conditions for the DBN	44
Figure IV.10: Residuals convergence conditions	44
Figure IV.11: Initialization settings	45
Figure IV.12: Converged residuals for the simulation of DBN	46
Figure V.1: Profile of the single bell nozzle	48
Figure V.2: Geometry of the single bell nozzle	49
Figure V.3: Generated mesh of the single bell nozzle	49
Figure V.4: Inlet boundary conditions for the DBN	50
Figure V.5: Outlet boundary conditions for the DBN	50
Figure V.6: Residual convergence for the simulation of SBN	51
Figure VI.1: Comparison of the nozzle's profiles	53
Figure VI.2: Mach distribution for DBN	54
Figure VI.3: Mach distribution for SBN	54

Figure VI.4: Mach number contour of the SBN	58
Figure VI.5: Mach number contour of the DBN	58
Figure VI.6: Pressure distribution for DBN	61
Figure VI.7: Pressure distribution for DBN	61
Figure VI.8: Nozzle configuration choice according to altitude	64
Figure VI.9: Pressure contour of the SBN	64
Figure VI.10: Pressure contour of the SBN	65
Figure VI.11: Nozzle selection flowchart based on operating conditions	67

---

## **LIST OF TABLES**

Table III.1: Input parameters for the computational tool	35
Table III.2: Output parameters for the computational tool	35
Table III.3: Base nozzle's characteristics	37
Table IV.1: Performance parameters of the dual-bell nozzle	46
Table V.1: Performance parameters of the single bell nozzle	51
Table VI.1: Mach number progression and inflection point in nozzle profiles	55
Table VI.2: Mach number evolution and boundary layer growth in nozzle designs	56
Table VI.3: Performance metrics comparison	57
Table VI.4: Mach range and performance comparison of nozzle designs	59
Table VI.5: Wall proximity or BL analysis (low-Mach regions near walls)	59
Table VI.6: Key metrics comparison	60
Table VI.7: Boundary layer comparison	62
Table VI.8: Pressure gradient ( $dP/dx$ ) comparison	62
Table VI.9: Critical pressure metrics comparison	63
Table VI.10: Comparison of pressure range and contour spacing in nozzle profiles	65
Table VI.11: Wall pressure behavior and exit flow characteristics	66
Table VI.12: Performance parameters comparison	68
Table VI.13: Nozzle selection trade-offs	69

---

# NOTATIONS

## Coordinates system:

$x$  : Axial coordinate  
 $y$  : Radial coordinate

## Latin letters:

$A_w$  : Coefficient of the polynomial simulating the nozzle profile  
 $A_e$  : Exit section  
 $A^*$  : Throat section  
 $a$  : Local speed of sound  
 $B_w$  : Coefficient of the polynomial simulating the nozzle profile  
 $C_f$  : Thrust coefficient  
 $C_w$  : Coefficient of the polynomial simulating the nozzle profile  
 $C^+$  : Right-running characteristic  
 $C^-$  : Left-running characteristic  
 $F$  : Thrust  
 $g$  : Gravitational acceleration  
 $I_{sp}$  : Specific impulse  
 $M$  : Mach number  
 $m$  : Mass flow rate  
 $P$  : Static pressure  
 $P_0$  : Total or stagnation pressure  
 $P^*$  : Static pressure at the speed of sound  
 $R_G$  : Ideal gas constant  
 $R_{tu}$  : Throat upstream radius of curvature  
 $R_{td}$  : Throat downstream radius of curvature  
 $T$  : Static temperature  
 $T_0$  : Total or stagnation temperature  
 $t$  : Time  
 $u$  : Radial component of the velocity vector  
 $v$  : Axial component of the velocity vector  
 $V_{eff}$  : Effective velocity  
 $X_e$  : Length of the supersonic section  
 $Y_e$  : Radius of the exit cross-section

## Greek letters :

$\alpha$  : Constant or Mach angle  
 $\gamma$  : Ratio of specific heats at constant pressure and volume  
 $\delta$  : Coefficient

$\lambda^+$  : Right-running characteristic

$\lambda^-$  : Left-running characteristic

$\theta$  : Angle

$\rho$  : Density

### **Subscripts:**

$a$  : Ambient

$e$  : Exit

$j$  : junction or inflection point

$tu$  : Throat upstream

$td$  : Throat downstream

$t$  : Total

$\theta$  : Stagnation

$1$  : First or base contour

$2$  : Second contour

### **Acronyms:**

BL : Boundary Layer

CFD : Computational Fluid Dynamics

DBN : Dual-Bell Nozzle

NPR : Nozzle Pressure Ratio

SBN : Single Bell Nozzle

## REFERENCES

- [1] Anderson, J. D. (2019). Modern compressible flow: With historical perspective (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- [2] Shapiro, A. H. (1953). The dynamics and thermodynamics of compressible fluid flow (Vol. 1). Ronald Press.
- [3] Liepmann, H. W., & Roshko, A. (2001). Elements of gasdynamics. Dover Publications.
- [4] Çengel, Y. A., & Boles, M. A. (2015). Thermodynamics: An engineering approach (8th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- [5] Fox, R. W., Pritchard, P. J., & McDonald, A. T. (2020). Introduction to fluid mechanics (9th ed.). Wiley.
- [6] White, F. M. (2016). Fluid mechanics (8th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- [7] Bertin, J. J., & Cummings, R. M. (2003). Critical hypersonic aerothermodynamic phenomena. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics.
- [8] Panton, R. L. (2013). Incompressible flow (4th ed.). Wiley.
- [9] Tennekes, H., & Lumley, J. L. (1972). A first course in turbulence. MIT Press.
- [10] Sagaut, P. (2006). Large eddy simulation for incompressible flows (3rd ed.). Springer.
- [11] Reynolds, O. (1883). An experimental investigation of the circumstances which determine whether the motion of water shall be direct or sinuous, and of the law of resistance in parallel channels. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, 174, 935–982. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstl.1883.0029>
- [12] Wilcox, D. C. (2006). Turbulence modeling for CFD (3rd ed.). DCW Industries.
- [13] Menter, F. R. (1994). Two-equation eddy-viscosity turbulence models for engineering applications. AIAA Journal, 32(8), 1598-1605. <https://doi.org/10.2514/3.12149>
- [14] Anderson, J. D. (1997). A history of aerodynamics and its impact on flying machines. Cambridge University Press.
- [15] von Kármán, T. (1927). Über isentrope Strömungen in Düsen [On isentropic flows in nozzles]. Zeitschrift für Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik, 7(1), 73–82.
- [16] Sutton, G. P., & Biblarz, O. (2016). Rocket propulsion elements (9th ed.). Wiley.
- [17] Ferri, A. (1949). Elements of aerodynamics of supersonic flows. Prentice-Hall.
- [18] Anderson, J. D. (2019). Modern compressible flow: With historical perspective (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

- [19] Courant, R., & Hilbert, D. (1962). Methods of mathematical physics, Vol. II. Interscience.
  - [20] Thompson, P. A. (1972). Compressible-fluid dynamics. McGraw-Hill.
  - [21] Ferziger & Perić [60]: Practical FDM implementation for fluid dynamics.
  - [22] ANSYS. (2023). ANSYS Fluent Theory Guide (v23.1).
  - [23] Reijasse, P., Coponet, D., Luyssen, J.-M., Bar, V., Palerm, S., Oswald, J., Amouroux, F., Robinet, J.-C., & Kuszla, P. (2011). Wall pressure and thrust of a dual bell nozzle in a cold gas facility. *Progress in Propulsion Physics*, 2, 655–674. <https://doi.org/10.1051/eucass/201102655>
  - [24] Kügeler, E., & Nitsche, W. (2018). Correlation between pressure recovery of highly loaded compressor cascades and suction side boundary layer characteristics. *Journal of Turbomachinery*, 140(7), 071002. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4039253>
-